
----- Forwarded message -----

From: **george.platt62** <george.platt62@gmail.com>

Date: Tue, Mar 30, 2021 at 8:19 PM

Subject: RE: Call follow up

To: Chris Buck <chris.buck@askfrost.com>

Cc: Brendan Murphy <brendan.murphy@frosttaxlaw.com>, Bradford Kirby <bradford.kirby@frosttaxlaw.com>

Hi Chris,

Thank you for today's call and for the progress we've made.

Seeking a stay or hold on all formal proceedings is what I would like for you to do.

Upon agreement of a stay by all parties, your reaching out to your contact at SBA to pursue a dialogue regarding my concerns is what I hope you can do.

After SBA meeting we reevaluate. My hope is to have most issues resolved at that level, requiring minimal interactions with Scott Martin. I want that contract be canceled in its entirety along with a refund, litigation fees and something for my loss. Not a shakedown, but something fair (you can be the judge on that).

The first thing I would ask you to convey to your SBA contact is both gratitude for the services they provide and an apology from me that we were forced to go this route in filing suit against them.

Ask the question...is what I went through consistent with their objectives? To clarify that question send him a copy of the summary I had sent to Dr. Keenan, Executive Director of VASBDC-MEC and her response. I can resend to you if you cannot locate. I offer my every cooperation in righting what demonstrably went wrong.

I think a brief description of what my patent entails would be helpful. Without getting too much in the weeds, it's an end to end method and system, machinery and design and execution software for any type of wood based project. As its initial interactions are autonomous and customer facing (it's an app) the design aspect can accommodate a myriad of other home improvement projects following the same methodology. If you or your contact want to do a deeper dive I can send you a pitch deck or you could read the first and last pages of my patents US 9,720,401 B2(2017) or US 10,768,609 B2(2020).

Now it gets a little sticky.

One of the major pulls towards GMU was their supposed commitment to diversity. The construction industry is male dominated. Approximately 80% of decisions regarding home remodeling are made by women. To build a successful company, I need help recruiting people with that reality in mind. I'm trying to develop a better way of doing things and that will require diversity of viewpoints and experiences.

Also, seemingly only tangentially related, fulfillment labor. The skilled and semi skilled trades are in large part performed by minorities. I have a problem with what we've all witnessed. Drive by your local Home Depot and more often than not you will see throngs of people lining up begging for work. Denigrated, exploited, and marginalized. That's bullshit. We need to do better, especially given our current situation on our southern border. My fulfillment system will enroll all that want to get licensed, insured and trained in segments I offer, either a la carte or as part of a package. My fulfillment as I see it will be scheduled online empowering users to choose precisely who will perform their tasks. A user's project requiring labor will populate using geography, skill set or competencies, a calendar and rate (that THEY toggle). What is

designed out is greed and uncertainty. This is the part that I think government has a role in assisting not just me, but everyone. This is the part of my project being stalled by Scott Martin that has me the most infuriated.

The tech and the tools are already pretty much built in my head, nothing ground breaking. It's the people and money part that's the hurdle I need help with. I made this as condensed as I could, I have a lot more detail. This is really just a conversation starter.

Sent from my Galaxy Tab A

----- Original message -----

From: Chris Buck <chris.buck@askfrost.com>

Date: 3/30/21 2:19 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: George Platt <george.platt62@gmail.com>, Lynn White <lynn.white@askfrost.com>

Cc: Brendan Murphy <brendan.murphy@frosttaxlaw.com>, Bradford Kirby <bradford.kirby@frosttaxlaw.com>

Subject: Call follow up

George,

In follow up to our call earlier today our action plan is as follows:

1. Contact all opposing counsel to obtain a "stay" of all pending proceedings/motions/deadlines to allow movement as described below.
2. Upon agreeing to a stay, contact the SBA for George to discuss the case and his experiences. I have a contact in the SBA that I hope will talk to you or get me someone of some significant authority to speak with you.
3. AFTER SBA meeting, have an informal call/conference to close out Dr. Martin whereby he has no claims to your work product or for any \$\$ and you dismiss GMU/SBA.

If any of this is incorrect, please let us know. Also, give me your top few points for the SBA discussion.

Chris

--

George Platt